

ON EMERTON'S p -ADIC BANACH SPACES

RICHARD HILL

ABSTRACT. The purpose of the current paper is to introduce some new methods for studying the p -adic Banach spaces introduced by Emerton [9]. We first relate these spaces to more familiar sheaf cohomology groups. As an application, we obtain a more general version of Emerton's spectral sequence. We also calculate the spaces in some easy cases. As a consequence, we obtain a number of vanishing theorems.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Statements of Results	1
2. Relation to Sheaf Cohomology	5
3. Another proof of Emerton's spectral sequence	11
4. Calculation of some cohomology groups	17
5. Vanishing Theorems	24
References	29

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

1.1. Cohomology of arithmetic quotients. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a linear algebraic group over a number field k . We choose a maximal compact subgroup $K_\infty \subset \mathbf{G}(k_\infty)$ and let K_∞° be the identity component in K_∞ . This paper is concerned with the cohomology of the following “arithmetic quotients”:

$$Y(K_f) = \mathbf{G}(k) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) / K_\infty^\circ K_f.$$

Fix once and for all a finite prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of k and let p be the rational prime below $\mathfrak{p}$. By a “tame level” we shall mean a compact open subgroup $K^\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f^\mathfrak{p})$. For a field E of characteristic zero, the level $K^\mathfrak{p}$ Hecke algebra is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(K^\mathfrak{p}, E) = \{f : K^\mathfrak{p} \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f^\mathfrak{p}) / K^\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow E : f \text{ has compact support}\}.$$

Given a finite dimensional algebraic representation W of $\mathbf{G}$ over an extension $E/k_\mathfrak{p}$, one defines a local system $\mathcal{V}_W$ on each arithmetic quotient $Y(K_f)$. We define the classical cohomology groups of tame level $K^\mathfrak{p}$ as follows:

$$H_{\text{cl},*}^\bullet(K^\mathfrak{p}, W) = \varinjlim_{K^\mathfrak{p}} H_*^\bullet(Y(K^\mathfrak{p} K_\mathfrak{p}), \mathcal{V}_W),$$

Date: 3rd August 2007.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F75, 11F33.

where $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ranges over the compact open subgroups of $\mathbf{G}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. The symbol “ $*$ ” denotes either the empty symbol, meaning usual cohomology, or “c”, meaning compactly supported cohomology. There is a smooth action of $\mathbf{G}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ on $H_{\text{cl}}^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, W)$, and there is also an action of the level $K^{\mathfrak{p}}$ Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$. The systems of Hecke eigenvalues arising in $H_{\text{cl},*}^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, W)$ are of considerable interest in number theory.

Traditionally, the classical cohomology groups have been studied using the theory of automorphic representations (for example in [4]). Recently, Emerton introduced a new method for studying the classical cohomology groups. Instead of studying the space of automorphic forms on $\mathbf{G}$, Emerton introduced a collection of p -adic Banach spaces, from which the classical cohomology can be recovered. Emerton’s spaces are defined as follows:

$$\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_*^{\bullet}(Y(K^{\mathfrak{p}}K_{\mathfrak{p}}), \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

For a finite extension $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ we also define

$$\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E) = \tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} E.$$

The space $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ has the structure of a Banach space over E , where the unit ball is defined to be the $\mathcal{O}_E$ -span of the image of $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. It is also convenient to consider the direct limit of these groups over the tame levels:

$$\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(\mathbf{G}, -) = \varinjlim_{K^{\mathfrak{p}}} \tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, -).$$

We have the following actions on these spaces:

- (1) The group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f^{\mathfrak{p}})$ acts smoothly on $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$; the subspace $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ may be recovered as the $K^{\mathfrak{p}}$ -invariants in $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(\mathbf{G}, E)$.
- (2) The Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ acts on $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$.
- (3) The group $\mathbf{G}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ acts continuously, but not usually smoothly on the spaces $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, -)$.
- (4) For a finite extension $E/k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we define $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-loc.an.}}$ to be the subspace of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -locally analytic vectors in $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ (see [10]). The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathbf{G}$ acts on the subspace $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-loc.an.}}$.

Emerton proved (Theorem 2.2.11 of [9]) that his spaces are related to the classical cohomology groups by the following spectral sequence of smooth $\mathbf{G}(k_{\mathfrak{p}}) \times \mathcal{H}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ representations:

$$(1) \quad E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{g}}^p(\check{W}, \tilde{H}_*^q(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-loc.an.}}) \implies H_{*,\text{cl.}}^{p+q}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, W),$$

where $\check{W}$ is the contragredient representation.

The spectral sequence shows that the spaces $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, -)$ carry the information of all the systems of Hecke eigenvalues of automorphic representations of $\mathbf{G}$ of cohomological type with tame level $K^{\mathfrak{p}}$. On the other hand, the spaces $\tilde{H}_*^{\bullet}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ are not too big. More precisely, they are *continuous admissible* representations of $\mathbf{G}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$. This means that the continuous dual space is finitely generated as a module over the Iwasawa algebra of a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(k_{\mathfrak{p}})$ (for this definition, see [14] or Definition 7.2.1 of [10].) As a consequence, Emerton was able in some cases to use his spaces to interpolate the systems of Hecke-eigenvalues into an “eigenvariety” (see [9] and also [11] for a number

of examples when Emerton's method is successful). In a rather different vein, the spaces $\tilde{H}_*(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ have been used in [6] to give strong bounds on the multiplicities of automorphic representations of cohomological type. The latter results are reminiscent of Iwasawa's bounds on the growth of class numbers.

It is easy to summarise what is known about the spaces $\tilde{H}_*$. If we let d be the dimension of the arithmetic quotients $Y(K_f)$, then clearly $\tilde{H}_*^n(\mathbf{G}, -)$ is zero for $n > d$. Furthermore, Emerton showed that $\tilde{H}_c^d(\mathbf{G}, -) = \tilde{H}^d(\mathbf{G}, -)$. Emerton calculated [9] the groups $\tilde{H}_*^n(\mathbf{G}, -)$ when $\mathbf{G}$ is either $\mathrm{SL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbb{Q}$ or a real rank zero group. The case where $\mathbf{G}$ is simple and simply connected is studied in the preprint [11]. For such groups one knows that $\tilde{H}^0(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and

$$\tilde{H}^1(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(\mathrm{Cong}(\mathbf{G}), \mathbb{Q}_p)_{\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f^{\mathfrak{p}}) - \mathrm{smooth}},$$

where $\mathrm{Cong}(\mathbf{G})$ denotes the congruence kernel of $\mathbf{G}$. Beyond this, nothing is written on the subject. In this paper we shall give a number of new results on Emerton's spaces.

1.2. Results and organization of the paper. Our first results are expressions for Emerton's p -adic cohomology groups in terms of more familiar objects. We show in §2 Theorem 5 and Corollary 1 that $\tilde{H}^\bullet(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology $H^\bullet(Y(K^{\mathfrak{p}}), \mathcal{C})$, where $Y(K^{\mathfrak{p}})$ is the topological space $\mathbf{G}(k) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) / K_\infty^\circ K^{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $\mathcal{C}$ is the sheaf of continuous $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -valued functions. Such functions need not be locally constant, since $Y(K^{\mathfrak{p}})$ is a projective limit of arithmetic quotients. We also identify $\tilde{H}_c^n(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ as a relative cohomology group. These results allow us to use the machinery of homological algebra to study the spaces $\tilde{H}_*^n$, since they are identified as derived functors. In particular, we show (Corollary 2) that there is a long exact sequence:

$$(2) \quad \rightarrow \tilde{H}_c^n(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\partial^n(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^{n+1}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow .$$

Here we are using the notation

$$\tilde{H}_\partial^n(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}} H^n(\partial Y(K_{\mathfrak{p}} K^{\mathfrak{p}})^{\mathrm{B.S.}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^s),$$

where $\partial Y(K_f)^{\mathrm{B.S.}}$ is the ‘‘Borel–Serre’’ boundary of $Y(K_f)$. More precisely, recall that the arithmetic quotients $Y(K_f)$ are not necessarily compact. However we may embed $Y(K_f)$ as a dense open subspace of a finite simplicial complex $Y(K_f)^{\mathrm{B.S.}}$, such that the inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. We are defining $\partial Y(K_f)^{\mathrm{B.S.}} = Y(K_f)^{\mathrm{B.S.}} \setminus Y(K_f)$. In the case that $\mathbf{G}$ is reductive and the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ has rank zero over k , the space $Y(K_f)^{\mathrm{B.S.}}$ is the Borel–Serre compactification of $Y(K_f)$ (see [3] or [2]).

In §2.9 (Theorem 6 and its Corollaries), we identify Emerton's space $\tilde{H}^\bullet(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ with $H^\bullet(Y(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_{\mathfrak{p}}))$, where $\mathcal{C}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is the local system on $Y(K_f)$ consisting of continuous functions $K_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. The action of the fundamental group of a connected component of $Y(K_f)$ on $\mathcal{C}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is by left translation on $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The action of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ on these cohomology groups is given by right translation on $\mathcal{C}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$. This second interpretation has two technical advantages. First, the space $Y(K_f)$ is homotopic to a finite simplicial complex rather than a projective limit of finite simplicial complexes. Second, the sheaf $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is a local system, whereas $\mathcal{C}$ is not. In principle, this second interpretation allows us, given a triangulation of $Y(K_f)$, to calculate the spaces $\tilde{H}_*^\bullet$ as $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

As an application, we give in §3 a new proof of Emerton’s spectral sequence (1), starting from the definition $\tilde{H}^\bullet(K^\flat, \mathbb{Z}_p) = H^\bullet(Y(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K^\flat))$. We show that this is an example of a Grothendieck spectral sequence for (roughly speaking) the following composition of left-exact functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{sheafs of continuous} & & \text{continuous} & \longrightarrow & \text{smooth} \\ K^\flat\text{-modules on } Y(K_f) & \longrightarrow & K^\flat\text{-modules} & \longrightarrow & K^\flat\text{-modules.} \end{array}$$

The first functor here is “global sections” and the second is $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{g}}(W, -_{k^\flat\text{-loc.an.}})$. In fact our result is more general than Emerton’s in the compactly supported case (see Theorem 2.1.12 of [9]). Recall that Emerton required his spaces to be topological manifolds. This is because he uses Poincaré duality to replace compactly supported cohomology by homology in his proof. We do not make this restriction. The greater generality of our result (Theorem 7) makes these techniques applicable (for example) to S -arithmetic quotients, or to subsets of the Borel–Serre boundary. We also obtain a spectral sequence in the case that the finite dimensional space W is replaced by any continuous admissible representation.

In §4 we calculate the spaces $\tilde{H}_*^\bullet$ in some easy cases. We show (Theorem 11) that for a unipotent group $\mathbf{N}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, we have $\tilde{H}_*^0(\mathbf{N}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\tilde{H}_*^n(\mathbf{N}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ for $n > 0$. We also show (Theorem 13 and Corollary 5) that a corresponding result for tori over $\mathbb{Q}$ is equivalent to Leopoldt’s conjecture. As a consequence, we show (Theorem 12) that if $\mathbf{N}$ is the unipotent radical of a group $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{N}$, then we may identify $\tilde{H}_*^\bullet(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ with $\tilde{H}_*^\bullet(\mathbf{H}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

In §5, we apply these results to the cohomology $\tilde{H}_\partial^\bullet$ of the Borel–Serre boundary. To describe these results, we need to introduce some notation. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$, and assume that the maximal split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ is trivial. Given any parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, there is Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{L} \ltimes \mathbf{N}$. Here $\mathbf{N}$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ is a Levi factor. We further decompose $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{M}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is the maximal split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ is the intersection of the kernels of the homomorphisms $\mathbf{L} \rightarrow \text{GL}_1/\mathbb{Q}$. We define constants $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, $\tilde{\text{cd}}_\partial(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\tilde{\text{cd}}_\partial(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, $D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\text{cd}}_*(\mathbf{G}, -) &= \max\{n : \tilde{H}_*^n(\mathbf{G}, -) \neq 0\}, \\ D(\mathbf{G}, -) &= \max \left\{ \tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{M}, -) : \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{MAN} \subset \mathbf{G} \text{ is a} \\ \text{proper parabolic subgroup} \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(The notation “ $\tilde{\text{cd}}$ ” stands for “cohomological dimension”.) We trivially have $\tilde{\text{cd}}_*(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \leq \tilde{\text{cd}}_*(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. In fact these numbers are equal in all examples calculated by the author. We shall prove (Theorem 15) that

$$\tilde{\text{cd}}_\partial(\mathbf{G}, -) \leq D(\mathbf{G}, -),$$

where $-$ denotes either $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$. It seems rather likely that this bound is sharp; this will be clear from the proof. As a consequence of the exact sequence (2) we deduce that the map $\tilde{H}_c^n(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is surjective for $n = D(\mathbf{G}) + 1$ and an isomorphism for $n \geq D(\mathbf{G}) + 2$. This bound is rather strong: note that for groups $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ of real rank zero, $D(\mathbf{G}) = 0$. This is perhaps surprising, since the classical cohomology groups H_{cl}^n and $H_{\text{c,cl}}^n$ may differ up to the dimension of $Y(K_f)$.

It is clear that $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is at most the virtual cohomological dimension of arithmetic subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$. It is proved in [3], that this virtual cohomological dimension is $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Y(K_f)) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G})$. We therefore have $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Y(K_f)) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G})$. Using this, together with our previous result, we prove (Theorem 17) that

$$\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Y(K_f)) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{G}).$$

This bound is certainly not sharp in general, although it may be sharp when $\mathbf{G}$ is split. For example, if $\mathbf{G}$ has positive real rank, then we show (Proposition 1) that $\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p), \tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim(Y(K_f)) - 1$, regardless of the rational rank. If, in addition, the congruence kernel of $\mathbf{G}$ is finite, then we show (Proposition 2) that $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p), \tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim(Y(K_f)) - 2$. In this context, we recall that Serre conjectured (for $\mathbf{G}$ simple and simply connected) that the congruence kernel is finite if and only if the real rank is at least 2. Based on this evidence, one might expect that in fact $\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p), \tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim(Y(K_f)) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{G})$.

Finally, we show (Proposition 3) that $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, -) \leq \dim(Y(K_f)) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{G})$ for all reductive groups $\mathbf{G}$ whose centre has rational rank 0, if and only if the same bound also holds for $\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, -)$. Again, this follows from our result on the cohomology of the boundary, together with the long exact sequence (2).

2. RELATION TO SHEAF COHOMOLOGY

2.1. Some facts about Čech cohomology. Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{F}$ a presheaf on X . For an open cover $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i : i \in I\}$ of X , one defines the Čech complex $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$\check{C}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \{(f_{i_0, \dots, i_n})_{i_0, \dots, i_n \in I^{n+1}} : f_{i_0, \dots, i_n} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n})\}.$$

The cohomology groups of this complex are written $\check{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$. The Čech cohomology groups are defined to be the direct limits of these cohomology groups:

$$\check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim_{\mathfrak{U}} \check{H}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}).$$

In fact $\check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ depends only on the sheafification of $\mathcal{F}$. An open cover $\mathfrak{U}$ of X is called $\mathcal{F}$ -acyclic (or sometimes a *Leray cover*) if, for any intersection U of finitely many elements of $\mathfrak{U}$, we have $\check{H}^n(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $n > 0$.

Theorem 1 (Leray's Theorem). *If the cover $\mathfrak{U}$ is $\mathcal{F}$ -acyclic, then $\check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$.*

Theorem 2 (Thm. III.4.12 of [5]). *If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on a paracompact Hausdorff topological space X , then the Čech cohomology groups of $\mathcal{F}$ are equal to its sheaf cohomology groups, i.e. the derived functors of the global sections functor.*

Given a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a topological space Y , and a subspace $Z \subset Y$, we define presheafs $\mathcal{F}_Z$ and $\mathcal{F}^Z$ on X by

$$\mathcal{F}_Z(U) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(U) & \text{if } U \cap Z \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{F}^Z(U) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } U \cap Z \neq \emptyset \\ \mathcal{F}(U) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It turns out that $\check{H}^\bullet(Z, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F}_Z)$, and one defines $\check{H}^\bullet(Y, Z, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^\bullet(Y, \mathcal{F}^Z)$. There is a short exact sequence of presheafs:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^A \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_A \rightarrow 0.$$

This gives a long exact sequence:

$$\check{H}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}^A) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}_A) \rightarrow \check{H}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F}^A).$$

Passing to the direct limit, we obtain the long exact sequence of Čech cohomology groups:

$$\check{H}^n(X, A, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^n(A, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{n+1}(X, A, \mathcal{F}).$$

If A is an abelian group, then we shall write $\underline{A}$ for the sheaf of locally constant A -valued functions. Using Leray's theorem, one easily proves the following:

Theorem 3 (Comparison Theorem). *Let Y be a finite simplicial complex, and $Z \subset Y$ a subcomplex. For any abelian group A , we have $\check{H}^\bullet(Y, Z, \underline{A}) = H^\bullet(Y, Z, A)$, where the right hand side is singular cohomology.*

In fact the comparison theorem holds for much more general topological spaces (see for example [18]).

Theorem 4 (Lem. 6.6.11, Cor 6.1.11 and Cor. 6.9.9 of [18]). *Let Y be a finite simplicial complex and Z a subcomplex. For any abelian group A , we have $H_c^\bullet(Y \setminus Z, A) = H^\bullet(Y, Z, A)$.*

2.2. Emerton's Formalism. Emerton used the following formalism to introduce the groups $\tilde{H}_*^n$. Let G be a compact, $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -analytic group, and fix a basis of open, normal subgroups:

$$G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots$$

Suppose we have a sequence of simplicial maps between finite simplicial complexes

$$\dots \rightarrow Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_0,$$

and subcomplexes:

$$\dots \rightarrow Z_2 \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow Z_0,$$

each equipped with a right action of G , and satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) the maps in the sequence are G -equivariant;
- (2) G_r acts trivially on Y_r ;
- (3) if $0 \leq r' \leq r$ then the maps $Y_r \rightarrow Y_{r'}$ and $Z_r \rightarrow Z_{r'}$ are Galois covering maps with deck transformations provided by the natural action of $G_{r'}/G_r$ on Y_r .

Given this data, we let Y be the projective limit of the spaces Y_r , and Z be the projective limit of the spaces Z_r . We shall use the notation $Y^\circ = Y \setminus Z$, $Y_i^\circ = Y_i \setminus Z_i$. Emerton defined the following spaces:

$$\tilde{H}_c^n(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r H_c^n(Y_r^\circ, \mathbb{Z}/p^s), \quad \tilde{H}_c^n(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \tilde{H}_c^n(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

$$\tilde{H}^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r H^n(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s), \quad \tilde{H}^n(Y, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \tilde{H}^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

These spaces are G -modules, where G acts via its action on the spaces Y_r . In applications, G will be a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(k_p)$, and the space Y will be either $Y(K^p)^{\text{B.S.}}$ or $\partial Y^{\text{B.S.}}$. If $Y = Y(K^p)^{\text{B.S.}}$ then we sometimes take $Z = \partial Y(K^p)^{\text{B.S.}}$, and sometimes Z is the empty set. We shall write $\mathcal{C}$ for the sheaf on Y of continuous $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -valued functions.

Theorem 5. *With the above notation, there is a canonical isomorphism of G -modules:*

$$\tilde{H}_c^n(Y^\circ, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \check{H}^n(Y, Z, \mathcal{C}).$$

Proof. To prove the theorem, we construct acyclic covers of Y and Y_r and apply Leray's Theorem. The proof will be broken up into manageable pieces.

2.3. A cover. We first choose a finite open cover $\mathfrak{U}$ of Y_0 with the following properties:

- (1) If U is an intersection of finitely many sets in $\mathfrak{U}$ then either U is empty or U is contractible.
- (2) If U is an intersection of finitely many sets in $\mathfrak{U}$ and $U \cap Z_0$ is non-empty, then $U \cap Z_0$ is a deformation retract of U .

For each $U \in \mathfrak{U}$, we let $U^{(r)}$ be the preimage of U in Y_r . The sets $U^{(r)}$ form an open cover $\mathfrak{U}^{(r)}$ of Y_r , and have the following properties:

- (1) For every $U_1^{(r)}, \dots, U_s^{(r)} \in \mathfrak{U}^{(r)}$ with non-empty intersection, the intersection $U_1^{(r)} \cap \dots \cap U_s^{(r)}$ is isomorphic as a topological G -set to $(U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_r) \times (G/G_r)$. In particular, the intersection is homotopic to a finite set.
- (2) If $U_1^{(r)}, \dots, U_s^{(r)} \in \mathfrak{U}^{(r)}$ and $U_1^{(r)} \cap \dots \cap U_s^{(r)} \cap Z_r$ is non-empty, then $U_1^{(r)} \cap \dots \cap U_s^{(r)} \cap Z_r$ is a deformation retract of $U_1^{(r)} \cap \dots \cap U_s^{(r)}$.

Furthermore, for each set $U \in \mathfrak{U}$, we define $\tilde{U}$ to be the preimage of U in Y . The sets $\tilde{U}$ form an open cover $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ of Y . We immediately verify the following:

- (1) if $\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_s \in \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ have non-empty intersection, then their intersection is equivalent as a topological G -set to $(U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_s) \times G$.
- (2) if $\tilde{U}_1, \dots, \tilde{U}_s \in \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ and $\tilde{U}_1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{U}_s \cap Z$ is non-empty, then $\tilde{U}_1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{U}_s \cap Z$ is a deformation retract of $\tilde{U}_1 \cap \dots \cap \tilde{U}_s$.

2.4. $\mathfrak{U}^{(r)}$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}$ -acyclic. Let U be an intersection of finitely many sets in $\mathfrak{U}$, and let $U^{(r)}$ be the preimage of U in Y_r . We know that U is contractible, and $U^{(r)} = U \times (G/G_r)$. The sheaf $(\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}$ on Y_r consists of locally constant $\mathbb{Z}/p^s$ -valued functions, which vanish on Z_r . It follows that $\check{H}^\bullet(U^{(r)}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r})$ is a direct sum of finitely many copies of $\check{H}^\bullet(U, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_0})$. We must therefore show that $\check{H}^n(U, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_0}) = 0$ for all $n > 0$.

If $U \cap Z_0$ is empty, then we have $\check{H}^n(U, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_0}) = \check{H}^n(U, \mathbb{Z}/p^s)$. By the comparison theorem, this is the same as singular cohomology, and therefore only depends on U up to homotopy. Since U is contractible, it follows that $\check{H}^n(U, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = 0$ for $n > 0$.

Suppose instead that $U \cap Z_0$ is non-empty. In this case, we know that $U \cap Z_0$ is a deformation retract of U . It follows that the restriction map $H_{\text{sing}}^\bullet(U, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) \rightarrow H_{\text{sing}}^\bullet(U \cap Z_0, \mathbb{Z}/p^s)$ is an isomorphism. By the comparison theorem, it follows that the map $\check{H}^\bullet(U, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) \rightarrow \check{H}^\bullet(U \cap Z_0, \mathbb{Z}/p^s)$ is an isomorphism. The long exact sequence shows that $\check{H}^\bullet(U, U \cap Z_0, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = 0$.

2.5. $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ is $\mathcal{C}$ -acyclic. Let U be an intersection of finitely many sets in $\mathfrak{U}$, and let $\tilde{U}$ be the preimage of U in Y . We know that U is contractible, and $\tilde{U} = U \times G$. We must show that $\check{H}^n(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ for $n > 0$.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}$ be an open cover of $\tilde{U}$, and choose an element $\sigma \in \check{H}^n(\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}, \mathcal{C})$ with $n > 0$. We shall find a refinement $\tilde{\mathfrak{W}}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}$, such that the image of σ in $\check{H}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{W}}, \mathcal{C})$ is zero. By passing to a refinement of $\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}$ is finite, and that each element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}$ is of the form $V_i \times H_i$ for some open subset $V_i \subset U$ and some open coset $H_i \subset G$. By refining still further, we may assume that the cosets H_i are all cosets of the same open subgroup $G_r \subset G$. This means that $\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}$ is the pullback of an open cover $\mathfrak{V}^{(r)}$ of $U^{(r)}$. For an open subset $V^{(r)} \subset U^{(r)}$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(\tilde{V}^{(r)}) = S(V^{(r)}),$$

where S is the constant sheaf on $U^{(r)}$ with values in $\mathcal{C}(G_r)$ and $\tilde{V}^{(r)}$ is the preimage of $V^{(r)}$ in $\tilde{U}$. It follows that

$$\check{H}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}, \mathcal{C}) = \check{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{V}^{(r)}, S).$$

Since $U^{(r)}$ is homotopic to a finite set and S is a constant sheaf, it follows that $\check{H}^{>0}(U^{(r)}, S)$ is zero. This implies there is a refinement $\mathfrak{W}^{(r)}$ of $\mathfrak{V}^{(r)}$, such that the image of σ in $\check{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{W}^{(r)}, S)$ is zero. Pulling $\mathfrak{W}^{(r)}$ back to $\tilde{U}$, we have a refinement $\tilde{\mathfrak{W}}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{V}}$, such that the image of σ in $\check{H}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{W}}, \mathcal{C})$ is zero.

2.6. $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}$ is $\mathcal{C}^Z$ -acyclic. Let U be an intersection of finitely many sets in $\mathfrak{U}$, and let $\tilde{U}$ be the preimage of U in Y . We know that U is contractible, and $\tilde{U} = U \times G$. We must show that $\check{H}^n(\tilde{U}, \tilde{U} \cap Z, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ for $n > 0$. If U does not intersect Z_0 , then this follows from the previous part of the proof. We therefore assume that U intersects Z_0 . In this case, we know that $U \cap Z_0$ is a deformation retract of U . In particular, $U \cap Z_0$ is contractible, and $\tilde{U} \cap Z = (U \cap Z_0) \times G$. The previous part of the proof shows that $\check{H}^{>0}(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ and $\check{H}^{>0}(\tilde{U} \cap Z, \mathcal{C}) = 0$. Furthermore, one sees immediately that the restriction map $\check{H}^0(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\tilde{U} \cap Z, \mathcal{C})$ is an isomorphism. Hence by the long exact sequence, we have $\check{H}^\bullet(\tilde{U}, \tilde{U} \cap Z, \mathcal{C}) = 0$.

2.7. Fix for a moment a cohomological degree n , and let $U_1, \dots, U_N$ be the non-empty intersections of $n+1$ -tuples of sets in $\mathfrak{U}$, for which $U_i \cap Z_0 = \emptyset$. For each U_i , we let $U_i^{(r)}$ be the preimage of U_i in Y_r and $\tilde{U}_i$ be the preimage of U_i in Y .

Recall that $\check{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}^{(r)}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^r)^Z)$ is the cohomology of the chain complex

$$\check{C}^n(\mathfrak{U}^{(r)}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}) = \prod_{i=1}^N (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}(U_i^{(r)}).$$

Each U_i is contractible and disjoint from Z_0 . Furthermore $U_i^{(r)} = U_i \times (G/G_r)$, so we have an isomorphism of G -modules: $(\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}(U_i^{(r)}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)(G/G_r)$. This gives

$$\check{C}^n(\mathfrak{U}^{(r)}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}) = \left((\mathbb{Z}/p^s)(G/G_r) \right)^N.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\check{C}^n(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^Z) = \left((\mathbb{Z}/p^s)(G) \right)^N.$$

Comparing the two formulae, it is clear that

$$\check{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^Z) = \varinjlim_r \check{C}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}^{(r)}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}).$$

Since the functor $\varinjlim_r$ is exact, we have

$$\check{H}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^Z) = \varinjlim_r \check{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}^{(r)}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}).$$

2.8. Note also that $\mathcal{C}^Z(\tilde{U}_i) = \mathcal{C}(G)$, and so we have

$$\check{C}^n(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, \mathcal{C}^Z) = \mathcal{C}(G)^N.$$

It follows that $\check{C}^n(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, \mathcal{C}^Z)$ is an admissible $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -module in the sense of Definition 1.2.1 of [9]. Furthermore we have:

$$\check{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, \mathcal{C}^Z) = \varprojlim_s \check{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^Z), \quad \check{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^Z) = \check{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, \mathcal{C}^Z)/p^s.$$

Hence by Proposition 1.2.12 of [9], we have:

$$\check{H}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, \mathcal{C}^Z) = \varprojlim_s \check{H}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^Z).$$

By the previous part of the proof, we have:

$$\check{H}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}, \mathcal{C}^Z) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r \check{H}^\bullet(\mathfrak{U}^{(r)}, (\mathbb{Z}/p^s)^{Z_r}).$$

Since our covers are acyclic, this translates to

$$\check{H}^\bullet(Y, Z, \mathcal{C}) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r \check{H}^\bullet(Y_r, Z_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 4, we have

$$\check{H}^\bullet(Y_r, Z_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = H_c^\bullet(Y_r \setminus Z_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

The result follows. □

Corollary 1. *With the above notation, $\tilde{H}^n(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \check{H}^n(Y, \mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. We apply the theorem in the case that Z is empty. Since $Y^\circ = Y$, which is compact, it follows that usual cohomology is the same as compactly supported cohomology on each Y_r . □

Corollary 2. *In the notation of the introduction, there is a long exact sequence:*

$$\tilde{H}_c^n(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_\partial^n(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_c^{n+1}(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Proof. We have shown above that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}^\bullet(K^\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) &= \check{H}^\bullet(Y(K^\mathfrak{p}), \mathcal{C}), \\ \tilde{H}_\partial^\bullet(K^\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) &= \check{H}^\bullet(\partial Y(K^\mathfrak{p})^{\text{B.S.}}, \mathcal{C}), \\ \tilde{H}_c^\bullet(K^\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) &= \check{H}^\bullet(Y(K^\mathfrak{p})^{\text{B.S.}}, \partial Y(K^\mathfrak{p})^{\text{B.S.}}, \mathcal{C}).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from the long exact sequence in Čech cohomology. $\square$

2.9. A local system. We keep the notation introduced in §2.2. We have a finite simplicial complex Y_0 and a profinite simplicial complex Y , together with a map $Y \rightarrow Y_0$. This map is a G -bundle. We shall assume for a moment that Y_0 is connected and write Γ for the fundamental group of Y_0 . We regard Γ as acting on the left on the universal cover Y^{univ} of Y . As was noted by Emerton (§2.1 of [9]), this data corresponds to giving a group homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow G$. The space Y may then be constructed as follows:

$$Y = \Gamma \backslash (Y^{\text{univ}} \times G),$$

where the action of Γ on $Y^{\text{univ}} \times G$ is $\gamma(y, g) = (\gamma y, \gamma g)$. The right action of G on Y is $(y, g)h = (y, gh)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the space of continuous functions $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. We have an action of Γ on $\mathcal{C}(G)$ by left translation. By abuse of notation, we shall also write $\mathcal{C}(G)$ for the corresponding local system on Y_0 . We also have an action of G on $\mathcal{C}(G)$ by right translation. This gives the local system $\mathcal{C}(G)$ the structure of a sheaf of G -modules.

If we again allow Y_0 to have finitely many connected components, then we may make the same construction of a local system $\mathcal{C}(G)$ on each connected component. Together these form a local system on the whole of Y_0 . With this notation we have:

Theorem 6. *There are canonical isomorphisms of G -modules:*

$$H^\bullet(Y, \mathcal{C}) = H^\bullet(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)), \quad H^\bullet(Y, Z, \mathcal{C}) = H^\bullet(Y_0, Z_0, \mathcal{C}(G)).$$

Remark. It is clear that $H^\bullet(Y, \mathcal{C})$ depends only on the profinite simplicial complex Y . The independence of $H^\bullet(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G))$ of the level Y_0 follows from Shapiro's Lemma.

Remark. The formula of the theorem makes it in principle possible to calculate Emerton's groups $\tilde{H}_*(K^\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, at least as $K_\mathfrak{p}$ -modules, as long as one has a triangulation of the space $Y(K^\mathfrak{p}K_\mathfrak{p})$, and as long as $K_\mathfrak{p}$ is torsion-free.

Proof. We have a projection map $f : Y \rightarrow Y_0$. There is a corresponding Leray spectral sequence:

$$H^p(Y_0, R^q f_* \mathcal{C}) \implies H^{p+q}(Y, \mathcal{C}).$$

In view of this, the theorem follows from the following two Lemmas. $\square$

Lemma 1. $f_* \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(G)$ and $f_*(\mathcal{C}^Z) = \mathcal{C}(G)^Z$.

Proof. For any contractible open subset $U \subset Y_0$, we have

$$f_* \mathcal{C}(U) = \mathcal{C}(\tilde{U}),$$

where $\tilde{U}$ is the preimage of U in Y . Topologically we have $\tilde{U} = U \times G$. Since U is connected, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}(\tilde{U}) = \mathcal{C}(G).$$

□

Lemma 2. *For $n > 0$, $R^n f_* \mathcal{C} = R^n f_*(\mathcal{C}^Z) = 0$.*

Proof. Recall that $R^n f_* \mathcal{C}$ is the sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^n(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{C}).$$

If U is contractible, then the arguments in §2.5 and §2.6 shows that $H^n(\tilde{U}, \mathcal{C}) = 0$ for $n > 0$. Since any open cover of Y_0 has a refinement consisting of contractible sets, the result follows. □

Corollary 3. *If Y_0 is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ space (i.e. if Y_0 is connected and its universal cover is contractible) then there is a canonical isomorphism of G -modules:*

$$H^\bullet(Y, \mathcal{C}) = H_{\text{Group}}^\bullet(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}(G)).$$

Corollary 4. *In the notation of the introduction, let $K_f = K_{\mathfrak{p}} K^{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is torsion-free. Then we have as $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules:*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^\bullet(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) &= H^\bullet(Y(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})), \\ \tilde{H}_c^\bullet(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) &= H_c^\bullet(Y(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})) = H^\bullet(Y(K_f)^{\text{B.S.}}, \partial Y(K_f)^{\text{B.S.}}, \mathcal{C}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})), \\ \tilde{H}_\partial^\bullet(K^{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) &= H^\bullet(\partial Y(K_f)^{\text{B.S.}}, \mathcal{C}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})). \end{aligned}$$

3. ANOTHER PROOF OF EMERTON'S SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

We shall show in this section that Emerton's spectral sequence is an example of a Grothendieck spectral sequence. We are forced in this section to work over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ rather than $\mathbb{Z}_p$. This is because $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is not injective as a continuous $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -module, whereas $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is injective in a suitable category of representations of G . The main result in this section is Theorem 7. The results of this section are not required in the following sections.

3.1. Relative homological algebra. As before we shall write G for a compact p -adic analytic group. We write $\mathbf{mod}_G$ for the category of continuous representations of G on topological vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Here “continuous” means that the map $G \times V \rightarrow V$ defining the action is continuous. The morphisms in this category are defined to be the G -equivariant continuous linear maps.

The category $\mathbf{mod}_G$ is abelian, but does not have enough injectives; this is because the category of topological vector spaces does not have enough injectives. To get around this problem, one defines a *strong morphism* to be a morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ in $\mathbf{mod}_G$, such that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow \text{coker } f \rightarrow 0,$$

is chain homotopic to the zero complex in the category of topological vector spaces. This means that

- (1) $\ker f$ and $\text{Im } f$ are closed topological direct summands;
- (2) The continuous linear bijection $V/\ker f \rightarrow \text{Im } f$ is a homeomorphism.

(For various subcategories, property (2) is immediate from (1) by the open mapping theorem.) An object I of $\mathbf{mod}_G$ is called *relatively injective* if, given any strong injection $A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathbf{mod}_G$ and any morphism $f : A \rightarrow I$, the function f extends to a function $\tilde{f} : B \rightarrow I$. By a *relatively injective resolution* of V , we mean an exact sequence of strong morphisms:

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow I^2 \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where each I^n is relatively injective. Every object of $\mathbf{mod}_G$ has such a resolution, and any two such resolutions are chain homotopic. In particular, if we write $\mathcal{C}(G, V)$ for the space of continuous functions from G to V , equipped with the uniform topology, then there is a canonical strong injection $V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G, V)$ and $\mathcal{C}(G, V)$ is relatively injective.

For a left exact functor $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{mod}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, one defines the relative (right-) derived functors $(R_{\text{rel.}}^\bullet \mathbf{F})(V)$ by

$$(R_{\text{rel.}}^n \mathbf{F})(V) = H^n(\mathbf{F}(I^\bullet)).$$

This is independent of the resolution. For example, one defines the continuous cohomology groups of G to be the relative derived functors of the functor $V \mapsto V^G$ (see [7] or §IX.1.5 of [4] for this interpretation):

$$H_{\text{cts}}^n(G, V) = (R_{\text{rel.}}^n(-^G))(V).$$

This is the same as the cohomology of the usual complex of continuous cochains $G \times \cdots \times G \rightarrow V$. More generally for an object W of $\mathbf{mod}_G$ we define:

$$\text{Ext}_G^n(W, V) = (R_{\text{rel.}}^n \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{mod}_G}(W, -))(V).$$

Short exact sequences of strong morphisms give rise to long exact sequences of relative derived functors.

3.2. Continuous admissible representations. Recall that an *admissible continuous G -module* is a continuous representation of G on a Banach space V over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, such that the continuous dual V' of V is finitely generated over the Iwasawa algebra of G . We write $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}$ for the full subcategory of continuous admissible representations of G . The duality functor taking V to V' is an antiequivalence of categories, between the category of continuous admissible representations of G , and the category of finitely generated modules over the Iwasawa algebra. This duality takes $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ to the rank 1 free module. Consequently, $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is injective in $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}$, and any injective object of $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^N$ for some N . From this we deduce the following:

Lemma 3. (1) *The category $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}$ has enough injectives;*
 (2) *The injective objects of $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}$ are relatively injective in $\mathbf{mod}_G$.*

The lemma implies that any injective resolution in $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}$ is a relatively injective resolution in $\mathbf{mod}_G$. As a consequence of this we have the following:

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{mod}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a left exact functor. For any continuous admissible representation V of G , we have $(R_{\text{rel.}}^n \mathbf{F})(V) = (R^n(\mathbf{F}|_{\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}})) (V)$.*

In particular, the restrictions of $H_{\text{cts}}^n(G, -)$ and $\text{Ext}_G^\bullet(W, -)$ to $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}$ are derived functors, rather than relative derived functors.

3.3. Smooth representations. By a smooth representation of G , we shall mean an abstract vector space V over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, equipped with an action of G by linear maps, such that every vector in V has open stabilizer in G . We shall write $\mathbf{smo}_G$ for the category of smooth representations of G . The morphisms are the G -equivariant linear maps.

Since every vector in a smooth representation has finite G -orbit, it is clear that the irreducible smooth representations of G are finite dimensional. Every finite dimensional smooth representation is semi-simple. Furthermore, every smooth representation of G is an inductive limit of finite dimensional smooth representations. It follows that every smooth representation is a sum of finite dimensional irreducible smooth representations. Every short exact sequence in $\mathbf{smo}_G$ splits and every object is injective.

3.4. For objects W of $\mathbf{mod}_G$ and X of $\mathbf{smo}_G$, we define an object $X \otimes W$ of $\mathbf{mod}_G$. As a vector space, this is the algebraic tensor product over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The G -action is on both X and W . To define the topology on $X \otimes W$, we identify $X \otimes W$ with the inductive limit of the spaces $F \otimes W$, where F is a finite dimensional subspace of X . By choosing a basis of F , we may identify $F \otimes W$ with $W^{\dim F}$, and we equip $W^{\dim F}$ with the product topology. The corresponding topology on $F \otimes W$ is independent of the choice of basis. Equivalently, a subset $U \subset X \otimes W$ is a neighbourhood of 0 if and only if for every $x \in X$ there is a neighbourhood U_x of 0 in W , such that $x \otimes U_x \subset U$.

Lemma 5. *The functor $- \otimes W : \mathbf{smo}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{mod}_G$ takes short exact sequences in $\mathbf{smo}_G$ to strong short exact sequences in $\mathbf{mod}_G$.*

Proof. Suppose we have an exact sequence in $\mathbf{smo}_G$:

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with W , we obviously still have an exact sequence of vector spaces and G -equivariant linear maps. The issue here is to show that $B \otimes W$ is the topological direct sum of $A \otimes W$ and $C \otimes W$. This follows because $B = A \oplus C$. $\square$

3.5. For objects V, W of $\mathbf{mod}_G$, we use the notation

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(V, W) = \varinjlim_r \mathrm{Hom}_{G_r}(V, W).$$

We regard this space as an abstract vector space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$; it is an object of $\mathbf{smo}_G$ with the action of G by conjugation.

Lemma 6. *The functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, -) : \mathbf{mod}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{smo}_G$ is a right-adjoint of the functor $W \otimes - : \mathbf{smo}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{mod}_G$. Consequently, we have:*

- (1) $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, -)$ is left-exact;
- (2) $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, -)$ takes relative injectives to injectives;
- (3) $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, -)$ takes injective objects of $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\mathrm{adm}}$ to injective objects of $\mathbf{smo}_G$.

Proof. Let X be a smooth G -module, and let V and W be continuous G -modules. We need to check that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, V)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-cts}}(X \otimes W, V).$$

We first note that $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, V)$ is the space of smooth vectors in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(W, V)$. Since all vectors in X are smooth, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, V)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(W, V))^G,$$

where we are regarding $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(W, V)$ as an abstract vector space. With our choice of topology on $X \otimes W$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(W, V)) &= \varprojlim_F \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(F, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(W, V)) = \varprojlim_F \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(F \otimes W, V) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(X \otimes W, V). \end{aligned}$$

Here F runs over finite dimensional subspaces of X . $\square$

We write $\mathrm{Ext}_{G\text{-st}}^\bullet(W, -)$ for the relative derived functors of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, -) : \mathbf{mod}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{smo}_G$. These are smooth G -modules, and may be calculated as follows:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{G\text{-st}}^\bullet(W, V) = \varinjlim_r \mathrm{Ext}_{G_r}^\bullet(W, V).$$

3.6. Simplicial sheafs. Let $\mathcal{Y}_0$ be an abstract finite simplicial complex. For our purposes, this means $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is a set of non-empty subsets (the simplexes) of a finite set (the set of vertices), such that if A is in $\mathcal{Y}_0$ then every non-empty subset of A is in $\mathcal{Y}_0$. We regard $\mathcal{Y}_0$ as a topological space, in which the closed sets are precisely the subcomplexes of $\mathcal{Y}_0$. Given an abelian category $\mathbf{C}$, we write $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ for the category of $\mathbf{C}$ -valued sheafs on $\mathcal{Y}_0$. Note that since $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is a finite topological space, it is not necessary for $\mathbf{C}$ to be closed under taking direct limits. A standard argument shows that if $\mathbf{C}$ has enough injectives then so does $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$. We shall write $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}^{\mathbf{C}}$ for the global sections functor from $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ to $\mathbf{C}$.

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an abelian category. The functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}^{\mathbf{C}} : \mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{Y}_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a right adjoint of the constant sheaf functor $V \mapsto \underline{V}$. Consequently,*

- (1) $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}^{\mathbf{C}}$ is left-exact;
- (2) $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}^{\mathbf{C}}$ takes injectives in $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ to injectives in $\mathbf{C}$.

Proof. This is standard. $\square$

The categories $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{smo}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ and $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G^{\mathrm{adm}}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ have enough injectives. However $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ does not have enough injectives. We get around this problem by exactly the same method as before. A morphism $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ in $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ is called strong if for each point $y \in \mathcal{Y}_0$, the exact sequence is stalks

$$0 \rightarrow (\ker f)_y \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_y \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_y \rightarrow (\mathrm{coker} f)_y \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact (i.e. chain homotopic to zero) in the category of topological vector spaces. One defines relatively injective objects and relatively injective resolutions exactly as above. One may use relatively injective resolutions to define relative derived functors. It is important to check that these relative derived functors agree on the full subcategory $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G^{\mathrm{adm}}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ with the usual derived functors. To see this, note that every object of $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G^{\mathrm{adm}}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ has an injective resolution in $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G^{\mathrm{adm}}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ by sheafs which are relatively injective in $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$. As a consequence of this, we have:

Lemma 8. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is injective in $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ then $\mathcal{F}$ is relatively injective in $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$.*

Proof. As explained above, the relative derived functors of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)}(-, \mathcal{F})$ restrict to the derived functors of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G^{\text{adm}}/\mathcal{Y}_0)}(-, \mathcal{F})$. $\square$

Let W be an object of $\mathbf{mod}_G$. We write $\mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, -)$ for the functor from $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ to $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{smo}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$, defined by

$$\mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, \mathcal{F})(U) = \mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, \mathcal{F}(U)), \quad U \subset \mathcal{Y}_0 \text{ open.}$$

Similarly we write $- \otimes \underline{W}$ for the functor $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{smo}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$, defined by

$$(\mathcal{F} \otimes \underline{W})(U) = \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes W.$$

Lemma 9. *Let W be a continuous G -module.*

- (1) *The functor $\mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, -) : \mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{smo}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ is a right-adjoint of $- \otimes \underline{W}$.*
- (2) *$- \otimes \underline{W} : \mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{smo}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ takes exact sequences to strong exact sequences.*
- (3) *$\mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, -)$ is left exact and takes relative injectives to injectives.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a sheaf of smooth G -modules on $\mathcal{Y}_0$ and let $\mathcal{V}$ be a sheaf of continuous G -modules on $\mathcal{Y}_0$. For part (1), we must show that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{smo}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, \mathcal{V})) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \underline{W}, \mathcal{V}).$$

It is sufficient to verify that on each open set $U \subset \mathcal{Y}_0$, we have:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{smo}_G}(\mathcal{X}(U), \text{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, \mathcal{V}(U))) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{mod}_G}(\mathcal{X}(U) \otimes W, \mathcal{V}(U)),$$

and that these isomorphisms are compatible with restriction maps. This follows from Lemma 6. For part (2), recall that exactness of a sequence of sheafs is equivalent to exactness of the corresponding sequence of stalks at each point. This allows us to reduce (2) to Lemma 5. Part (3) follows from (1) and (2). $\square$

3.7. Let Y_0 be a geometric realization of the abstract simplicial complex $\mathcal{Y}_0$ and Z_0 a geometric realization of a subcomplex $\mathcal{Z}_0$. If $\mathbf{C}$ is an abelian category, which is closed under direct limits, then we may form the category $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{C}/Y_0)$. The map $\pi : Y_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0$, which takes a point of Y_0 to the smallest simplex containing that point, is continuous.

Lemma 10. *Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an abelian category with enough injectives, which is closed under direct limits. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an object of $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{Y}_0)$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally constant sheaf then*

$$H^\bullet(Y_0, Z_0, \mathcal{F}) = H^\bullet(\mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{Z}_0, \pi_* \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. This follows from the spectral sequence of the map $\pi : Y_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0$:

$$H^p(\mathcal{Y}_0, (R^q \pi_*)(\mathcal{F}^{Z_0})) \implies H^{p+q}(Y_0, Z_0, \mathcal{F}).$$

We need to check that $(R^q \pi_*)(\mathcal{F}^{Z_0}) = 0$ for $q > 0$. This amounts to checking for every $y \in \mathcal{Y}_0$ that $H^q(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}, \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{Z}_0), \mathcal{F}) = 0$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is the intersection of the (finitely many) open subsets of $\mathcal{Y}_0$ containing y . Since both $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{U}$ and $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{Z}_0)$ are contractible (or empty) and $\mathcal{F}$ is locally constant, the result follows. $\square$

Theorem 7. *Let W be a continuous admissible G -module. Then there is a spectral sequence of smooth G -modules:*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{G\text{-st}}^p(W, H^q(\mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{Z}_0, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p))) \implies \lim_r H^{p+q}(Y_r, Z_r, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(W, \mathbb{Q}_p)).$$

Proof. Write $\mathcal{E}xt_{G\text{-st}}^\bullet(W, -)$ for the derived functors of $\mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, -)$, and write $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}$ for the global section functor. The functor $\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}$ is also left-exact and preserves injectives, and we write $H^\bullet(\mathcal{Y}_0, -)$ for its derived functors. There is an equality of functors from $\mathbf{sheaf}(\mathbf{mod}_G/\mathcal{Y}_0)$ to $\mathbf{smo}_G$:

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_0} \circ \mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, -) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-st}}(W, -) \circ \Gamma_{\mathcal{Y}_0}.$$

We write Γ_{smooth} for this composition. Hence there are Grothendieck spectral sequences:

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{G\text{-st}}^p(W, H^q(\mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0})) \implies (R^{p+q}\Gamma_{\mathrm{smooth}})(\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0}),$$

$$H^p(\mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{E}xt_{G\text{-st}}^q(W, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0})) \implies (R^{p+q}\Gamma_{\mathrm{smooth}})(\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0}).$$

The terms from the first spectral sequence are those from the statement of the theorem. To prove the theorem, we must show that $(R^p\Gamma_{\mathrm{smooth}})(\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0})$ is the classical cohomology with values in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cts}}(W, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Since the stalks of $\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0}$ are injective objects of $\mathbf{mod}_G^{\mathrm{adm}}$, it follows that the extension groups $\mathcal{E}xt_{G\text{-st}}^q(W, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0})$ are zero for $q > 0$. Hence the second spectral sequence degenerates, and we have

$$(R^p\Gamma_{\mathrm{smooth}})(\mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0}) = H^p(\mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0})).$$

By Lemma 10, we have

$$H^p(\mathcal{Y}_0, \mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathcal{Z}_0})) = H^p(Y_0, Z_0, \mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p))).$$

Since direct limits are exact, we have

$$H^p(Y_0, Z_0, \mathcal{H}om_{G\text{-st}}(W, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p))) = \lim_r H^p(Y_0, Z_0, \mathcal{H}om_{G_r}(W, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p))).$$

Let $f : Y_r \rightarrow Y_0$ be the projection map. The spectral sequence of this map is:

$$H^p(Y_0, Z_0, H^q(G/G_r, \mathcal{H}om_{G_r}(W, \mathcal{C}(G_r, \mathbb{Q}_p)))) \implies H^{p+q}(Y_r, Z_r, \mathcal{H}om_{G_r}(W, \mathcal{C}(G_r, \mathbb{Q}_p))).$$

Since G/G_r is a finite set, the spectral sequence degenerates and we have:

$$H^p(Y_0, Z_0, H^0(G/G_r, \mathcal{H}om_{G_r}(W, \mathcal{C}(G_r, \mathbb{Q}_p)))) = H^p(Y_r, Z_r, \mathcal{H}om_{G_r}(W, \mathcal{C}(G_r, \mathbb{Q}_p))).$$

As sheafs on Y_0 we have

$$H^0(G/G_r, \mathcal{H}om_{G_r}(W, \mathcal{C}(G_r, \mathbb{Q}_p))) = \mathcal{H}om_{G_r}(W, \mathcal{C}(G, \mathbb{Q}_p)).$$

By Frobenius reciprocity we have:

$$\mathcal{H}om_{G_r}(W, \mathcal{C}(G_r, \mathbb{Q}_p)) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathrm{cts}}(W, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

The result follows. $\square$

Remark. Theorem 7 gives Emerton's spectral sequence in the compactly supported case. For the usual case, we take Z_0 to be empty. Note that we have not required W to be finite dimensional. We also need no special properties of the simplicial complex Y_0 . If W is finite dimensional, then we may replace $\text{Ext}_{G\text{-st}}^\bullet$ by extension groups of the representation of the Lie algebra of G on the locally analytic vectors (see Theorem 1.1.13 of [9]).

4. CALCULATION OF SOME COHOMOLOGY GROUPS

In this section we again let G be a compact p -adic analytic group, and we have a neighbourhood filtration G_r by open normal subgroups. We let Y_0 be a finite simplicial complex, together with a map for each connected component to Y_0 , from the fundamental group of the connected component to G . We let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the corresponding local system of G -modules on Y_0 . We shall calculate $H^\bullet(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G))$ in some cases. In the case that Y_0 is connected, we write Γ for the fundamental group of Y_0 .

4.1. Induction. For the purposes of the discussion here, a topological G -module will be an abelian topological group M with an action of G by a continuous map $G \times M \rightarrow M$. We call M smooth if it has the discrete topology. For an open subgroup H of finite index in G , we write ind_H^G for the induction functor from smooth H -modules to smooth G -modules, or from topological H -modules to topological G -modules.

Suppose instead that H is a closed subgroup, of possibly infinite index. We shall describe two induction functors in this case. Given a smooth H -module V , we define $\text{smoothind}_H^G(V)$ to be the space of smooth functions $f : G \rightarrow V$, such that $f(hg) = hf(g)$ for all $h \in H$. The smooth induced module is a smooth G -module.

Given a continuous H -module V , we define $\widehat{\text{ind}}_H^G V$ to be the space of continuous functions $f : G \rightarrow V$ satisfying $f(hg) = hf(g)$ for all $h \in H$. We give $\widehat{\text{ind}}_H^G V$ the topology of uniform convergence. It is a continuous G -module. In fact smoothind is simply the restriction of $\widehat{\text{ind}}$ to the category of smooth modules, but it is convenient to distinguish between these two functors.

We now discuss how these three kinds of induction are related.

Lemma 11. *Let H be a closed subgroup of G . Suppose we have an inductive system of H -modules V_r , such that for each r , V_r is the inflation of a $H/(H \cap G_r)$ -module. By identifying $H/(H \cap G_r)$ with $G_r H/G_r$, we also regard V_r as a $G_r H$ -module. Then we have an isomorphism of smooth G -modules:*

$$\lim_{\rightarrow r} \text{ind}_{G_r H}^G V_r = \text{smoothind}_H^G \lim_{\rightarrow r} V_r.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \lim_{\rightarrow r} \text{ind}_{G_r H}^G V_r$. This means that for r sufficiently large, x is represented

by a function $x_r : G \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow r} V_r$, with the properties

- (1) For $g \in G$ and $h \in H$, $x_r(hg) = h \cdot x_r(g)$;
- (2) $x_r(gg') = x_r(g')$ for all $g \in G_r$ and $g' \in G$.

Only the second condition depends on r ; furthermore the union over all r of such spaces of functions is the space of smooth functions with values in $\varinjlim_r V_r$ satisfying the first condition. $\square$

Lemma 12. *Let H be a closed subgroup of G and let V_s be a projective system of smooth (discrete) H -modules. Then we have*

$$\varprojlim_s \text{smoothind}_H^G V_s = \widehat{\text{ind}}_H^G \varprojlim_s V_s.$$

Proof. This is easy to check. $\square$

Theorem 8. *Let $H \subset G$ be an analytic subgroup. Assume that for each connected component of Y_0 , the image in G of the corresponding fundamental group is contained in H . Then there is an isomorphism of G -modules:*

$$H^\bullet(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \widehat{\text{ind}}_H^G H^\bullet(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(H)).$$

Proof. We use Emerton's point of view. The space Y_r is given by

$$Y_r = \Gamma \backslash (Y^{\text{univ}} \times G/G_r).$$

There is a projection $Y_r \rightarrow (G_r H) \backslash G$ given by $\Gamma(y, g) \mapsto HG_r g$. We write X_r for the preimage of the identity coset HG_r . This is given by

$$X_r = \Gamma \backslash (Y^{\text{univ}} \times HG_r/G_r).$$

Letting $H_r = H \cap G_r$, and identifying HG_r/G_r with H/H_r , we see that the sets X_r form a projective system, whose projective limit is equal to

$$X = \Gamma \backslash (Y^{\text{univ}} \times H).$$

Since the index $[G : G_r H]$ is finite, it is clear that

$$H^\bullet(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \text{ind}_{HG_r}^G H^\bullet(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

Taking the limit over levels G_r , we obtain:

$$\varinjlim_r H^\bullet(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \varinjlim_r \text{ind}_{HG_r}^G H^\bullet(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

From Lemma 11, we have:

$$\varinjlim_r H^\bullet(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \text{smoothind}_H^G \varinjlim_r H^\bullet(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

By Lemma 12 we have:

$$\varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r H^\bullet(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \widehat{\text{ind}}_H^G \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r H^\bullet(X_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

In Emerton's notation, this is:

$$\tilde{H}^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \widehat{\text{ind}}_H^G \tilde{H}^\bullet(X, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

The result follows from Theorems 5 and 6. $\square$

Remark. The proof relies on the fact that G and H are p -adic analytic groups. The author does not know whether Theorem 8 holds for profinite groups in general.

4.2. Cohomology of nil-manifolds. For the next few results, it will be useful to recall that if a finite simplicial complex Y_0 is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -space then Γ must be torsion-free and finitely presented, and Y_0 must be connected.

Lemma 13. *Let Y_0 be a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -space, where Γ is abelian. Assume that the map $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ identifies G with the p -adic completion of Γ . Then we have*

$$H^n(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & n = 0, \\ 0 & n > 0. \end{cases}$$

The action of G on these spaces is trivial.

Proof. We have $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^N$ for some N , and Y_0 is homotopic to a torus. Letting $G_r = p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^N$, it follows that Y_r is naturally a $K(p^r \mathbb{Z}^N, 1)$ space for every r . Hence by Emerton's formula for $\tilde{H}^\bullet(Y, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, we have:

$$H^n(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r H^n(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r H^n(p^r \mathbb{Z}^N, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

On the other hand, $H^n(\mathbb{Z}^N, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^n(\mathbb{Z}^N), \mathbb{Z}/p^s)$. This implies

$$\varinjlim_r H^n(p^r \mathbb{Z}^N, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p^s & n = 0, \\ 0 & n > 0. \end{cases}$$

The result follows. □

It will be convenient to have a more precise version of this result:

Lemma 14. *Let Y_0 be a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -space, where Γ is abelian; let $\hat{\Gamma}$ be the p -adic completion of Γ . Suppose that the induced map $\hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow G$ is surjective, and let Δ be the kernel of this map. Then we have*

$$H^n(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^n \Delta, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

The action of G is trivial.

Proof. As in the proof of the previous result, we have:

$$H^n(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r H^n(\Gamma_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s),$$

where Γ_r is the preimage of G_r in Γ . Since Γ_r is a finitely generated torsion-free abelian group, its cohomology is as follows:

$$H^n(\Gamma_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^n \Gamma_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

This is clearly equal to $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^n \hat{\Gamma}_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s)$, where $\hat{\Gamma}_r$ is the preimage of G_r in $\hat{\Gamma}$. The kernel Δ is the intersection of the subgroups $\hat{\Gamma}_r$, and one easily checks that

$$\varinjlim_r \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^n \hat{\Gamma}_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^n \Delta, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

The result follows. □

Theorem 9. *Let Y_0 be a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ space, where Γ is a nilpotent group. Assume that the map $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ identifies G with the pro- p completion of Γ . Then we have*

$$H^n(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & n = 0, \\ 0 & n > 0. \end{cases}$$

The action of G is trivial.

Proof. We consider the ascending central series:

$$1 \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_N = \Gamma,$$

where Γ_{i+1}/Γ_i is the centre of Γ/Γ_i . We let G_i be the pro- p completion of Γ_i . Each of the subquotients Γ_{i+1}/Γ_i is a finitely generated torsion-free abelian group, and its p -adic completion is G_{i+1}/G_i . We shall prove by induction on t that

$$(4) \quad H^n(\Gamma_t, \mathcal{C}(G_t)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & n = 0, \\ 0 & n > 0. \end{cases}$$

If $t = 1$ then Γ_t is abelian, so the result follows from Lemma 13. Assume that (4) holds for $t - 1$. There is a Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$H^p(\Gamma_t/\Gamma_{t-1}, H^q(\Gamma_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(G_t))) \implies H^{p+q}(\Gamma_t, \mathcal{C}(G_t)).$$

By Theorem 8, we have

$$H^\bullet(\Gamma_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(G_t)) = \widehat{\text{ind}}_{G_{t-1}}^{G_t} H^\bullet(\Gamma_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(G_{t-1})),$$

and hence by the inductive hypothesis, we have:

$$H^q(\Gamma_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(G_t)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}(G_t/G_{t-1}) & q = 0, \\ 0 & q > 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows that the spectral sequence has only one non-zero row, so we have:

$$H^\bullet(\Gamma_t, \mathcal{C}(G)) = H^\bullet(\Gamma_t/\Gamma_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(G_t/G_{t-1})).$$

On the other hand G_t/G_{t-1} is the p -adic completion of Γ_t/Γ_{t-1} , so Lemma 13 gives:

$$H^n(\Gamma_t/\Gamma_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(G_t/G_{t-1})) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & n = 0, \\ 0 & n > 0. \end{cases}$$

□

Theorem 10. *Let Y_0 be a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ space. Let N be an analytic, normal, nilpotent subgroup of G , and let Γ_N be the preimage of Γ in N . Assume that N is the pro- p completion of Γ_N . Then we have as G -modules:*

$$H^\bullet(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}(G)) = H^\bullet(\Gamma/\Gamma_N, \mathcal{C}(G/N)).$$

Proof. There is a spectral sequence

$$H^p(\Gamma/\Gamma_N, H^q(\Gamma_N, \mathcal{C}(G))) \implies H^{p+q}(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}(G)).$$

By Theorem 8, we have

$$H^\bullet(\Gamma_N, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \widehat{\text{ind}}_N^G H^\bullet(\Gamma_N, \mathcal{C}(N)),$$

and hence by the previous theorem we have

$$H^q(\Gamma_N, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}(G/N) & q = 0, \\ 0 & q > 0. \end{cases}$$

The result follows. $\square$

4.3. First applications to arithmetic quotients. We begin now to apply our results to arithmetic quotients as described in the introduction. For simplicity we assume now that $k = \mathbb{Q}$. Our methods extend to the general case; however the results are more difficult to state. This is because one is forced to make systematic use of Lemma 14 in place of Lemma 13 and Theorem 9. The results stated below remain correct if one replaces $\mathbb{Q}$ by a number field k , in which $\mathfrak{p}$ is the only prime of k above p .

For a linear algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, and a compact open subgroup $K_f \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$, we shall use the notation

$$Y_{\mathbf{G}}(K_f) = \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}) / K_{\infty}^{\circ} K_f,$$

where K_{∞}° is a fixed maximal compact connected subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$.

4.3.1. Unipotent groups. We recall that a group $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ is said to satisfy strong approximation if $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$.

Lemma 15. *If $\mathbf{G}$ satisfies strong approximation then $Y_{\mathbf{G}}(K_f)$ is connected. If, in addition, $\Gamma(K_f)$ is torsion-free then $Y(K_f)$ is a $K(\Gamma(K_f), 1)$ space.*

Proof. This is easy and well-known. $\square$

Theorem 11. *If $\mathbf{N}/\mathbb{Q}$ is a unipotent group and K^p (resp. K_p) is a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ (resp. $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$) then*

$$H^n(Y_{\mathbf{N}}(K_p K^p), \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & n = 0, \\ 0 & n > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is known that strong approximation holds for unipotent groups. Furthermore $\mathbf{N}(\mathbb{A})$ is torsion-free. It follows from Lemma 15 that $Y_{\mathbf{N}}(K_f)$ is a $K(\Gamma_{\mathbf{N}}(K_f), 1)$ -space. The arithmetic group $\Gamma_{\mathbf{N}}(K_f)$ is nilpotent. The pro- p completion of $\Gamma(K_f)$ injects into K_p . By strong approximation, its image is the whole of K_p . Thus K_p is the pro- p completion of $\Gamma_{\mathbf{N}}(K_p)$. The result follows from Theorem 9. $\square$

4.3.2. Unipotent radicals. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be an arbitrary linear algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$. In applications, $\mathbf{P}$ will be a parabolic subgroup. We let $\mathbf{N}$ be the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}$ and we choose a Levi component $\mathbf{L}$. A maximal compact subgroup $K_{\mathbf{L}, \infty}$ of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{R})$ is also maximal compact in $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{R})$. We let $K_{f, \mathbf{P}} = K_{p, \mathbf{P}} K_{\mathbf{P}}^p$ be a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{P}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ with $K_{p, \mathbf{P}}$ torsion-free. We also let $K_{f, \mathbf{N}} = K_f \cap \mathbf{N}$, and similarly $K_{p, \mathbf{N}}$. The subgroup $K_{f, \mathbf{N}}$ is normal in $K_{f, \mathbf{P}}$, and we define $K_{f, \mathbf{L}} = K_{f, \mathbf{P}} / K_{f, \mathbf{N}}$. We may regard $K_{f, \mathbf{L}}$ as a subgroup of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbb{A}_f)$; however it may be larger than $K_f \cap \mathbf{L}$.

Theorem 12. *With the notation just described, there is an isomorphism of $K_{p, \mathbf{P}}$ -modules:*

$$H_{*}^{\bullet}(Y_{\mathbf{P}}(K_{f, \mathbf{P}}), \mathcal{C}(K_{p, \mathbf{P}})) = H_{*}^{\bullet}(Y_{\mathbf{L}}(K_{f, \mathbf{L}}), \mathcal{C}(K_{p, \mathbf{L}})),$$

where $*$ is either the empty symbol or “c”. The action of $K_{p,\mathbf{P}}$ on $H_*^\bullet(Y_{\mathbf{L}}(K_{f,\mathbf{L}}), \mathcal{C}(K_{p,\mathbf{L}}))$ is by right translation on $K_{p,\mathbf{L}} = K_{p,\mathbf{P}}/K_{p,\mathbf{N}}$.

Proof. As $\mathbf{N}$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$, there is a projection map

$$f : Y_{\mathbf{P}}(K_{f,\mathbf{P}}) \rightarrow Y_{\mathbf{L}}(K_{f,\mathbf{L}}).$$

This map is a fibre bundle with fibre $Y_{\mathbf{N}}(K_{f,\mathbf{N}})$. The fibre is compact, so in both the compactly supported and also the usual case, the spectral sequence is:

$$H_*^p(Y_{\mathbf{L}}(K_{f,\mathbf{L}}), H^q(Y_{\mathbf{N}}(K_{f,\mathbf{N}}), \mathcal{C}(K_{p,\mathbf{P}}))) \implies H_*^{p+q}(Y_{\mathbf{P}}(K_{f,\mathbf{P}}), \mathcal{C}(K_{p,\mathbf{P}})).$$

By Theorem 8 and Theorem 11 we have

$$H^q(Y_{\mathbf{N}}(K_{f,\mathbf{N}}), \mathcal{C}(K_{p,\mathbf{P}})) = \begin{cases} \widehat{\text{ind}}_{K_{p,\mathbf{N}}}^{K_{p,\mathbf{P}}} \mathbb{Z}_p & q = 0, \\ 0 & q > 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\widehat{\text{ind}}_{K_{p,\mathbf{N}}}^{K_{p,\mathbf{P}}} \mathbb{Z}_p = \mathcal{C}(K_{p,\mathbf{L}}).$$

The result follows. $\square$

4.3.3. Tori and Leopoldt’s Conjecture. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a torus over $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $K_f = K^p K_p$ be a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{A}_f)$. We let $\Gamma(K_f) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap (\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{R})^\circ K_f)$, and we let $\hat{\Gamma}(K_f)$ be the p -adic completion of $\Gamma(K_f)$. There is a map $\hat{\Gamma}(K_f) \rightarrow K_p$, and we write $\Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_f)$ for the kernel of this map. The rank $\mathfrak{d}(\mathbf{T}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Delta(\mathbf{T}, K_f)$ does not depend on K_f , and is called the *Leopoldt defect of $\mathbf{T}$* .

Conjecture 1 (Leopoldt’s Conjecture; see 10.3.5, 10.3.6 of [13]). $\mathfrak{d}(\mathbf{T}) = 0$.

This conjecture is usually made for tori of the form $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^k \text{GL}_1/k$, where k is a number field. The apparently more general conjecture given above is in fact equivalent to the conjecture for all tori of the form $\text{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}}^k \text{GL}_1/k$. This follows easily from the classification of tori (see for example §3.8.12 of [1]) together with the Artin induction theorem (§15.4 of [8]). Leopoldt’s conjecture is known to hold for tori which split over an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 13. *Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a torus over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $K_f = K_p K^p$ be chosen so that $\Gamma(K_f)$ is torsion-free. Then we have:*

$$H^n(Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = \text{ind}_G^{K_p} \left(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^n \Delta, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[\pi_0] \right),$$

where G (resp. Δ) is the image (resp. the kernel) of the map $\hat{\Gamma}(K_f) \rightarrow K_p$ and π_0 is the group of components of the Lie group $Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f)$. The action of G on $\text{Hom}(\wedge^n \Delta, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[\pi_0]$ is trivial. For compactly supported cohomology we have:

$$H_c^n(Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = \begin{cases} \text{ind}_G^{K_p} \left(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{n-r} \Delta, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[\pi_0] \right) & n \geq r, \\ 0 & n < r. \end{cases}$$

where $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{T}$.

Proof. By Theorem 8, it is sufficient to calculate the groups

$$H_*^\bullet(Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f), \mathcal{C}(G)).$$

The space $Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f)$ is a Lie group. We shall write Y_0 for its identity component. The group π_0 of components is finite, and by the Künneth formula we have (as G -modules):

$$H_*^\bullet(Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f), \mathcal{C}(G)) = H_*^\bullet(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p[\pi_0].$$

The identity component Y_0 is homeomorphic to V/Γ , where V is a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ and Γ is a lattice, which we identify with $\Gamma(K_f)$. Our use of the word “lattice” does not imply that Γ spans V . In general, $\dim(V) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{T})$, whereas (by Dirichlet’s unit theorem) Γ spans a subspace V_0 of rank $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{T}) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbf{T})$.

In any case, Y_0 is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -space, so by Lemma 14 we have:

$$H^\bullet(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^\bullet \Delta, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

The first part of the theorem follows.

To calculate the compactly supported cohomology, we choose a decomposition $V = V_0 \times V_1$ as real vector spaces. The space V_0/Γ is a compact $K(\Gamma, 1)$ space, so we have:

$$H_c^n(V_0/\Gamma, \mathcal{C}(G)) = H^n(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)).$$

Furthermore, since V_1 is an r -dimensional Euclidean space, we have:

$$H_c^n(V_1, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}(G) & n = r, \\ 0 & n \neq r. \end{cases}$$

The result follows from the spectral sequence of the map $Y_0 \rightarrow V_0/\Gamma$. $\square$

Corollary 5. *Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a torus over $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $\mathfrak{d}(\mathbf{T})$ be the Leopoldt defect of $\mathbf{T}$. Assume $\Gamma(K_f)$ is torsion-free. Then $H^n(Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_p))$ is non-zero if and only if $n \leq \mathfrak{d}(\mathbf{T})$. In particular the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Leopoldt’s conjecture holds for $\mathbf{T}$;*
- (2) $H^1(Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0$;
- (3) $H^n(Y_{\mathbf{T}}(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0$ for all $n > 0$.

4.3.4. Universal covers of semi-simple groups.

Theorem 14. *Let $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ be the (algebraic) universal cover of a semi-simple group $\mathbf{H}/\mathbb{Q}$. For any tame level $K_{\mathbf{H}}^p$ in $\mathbf{H}$, the group $\tilde{H}^n(K_{\mathbf{H}}^p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a sum of finitely many copies of $\tilde{H}^n(K_{\mathbf{G}}^p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, where $K_{\mathbf{G}}^p$ is the preimage of $K_{\mathbf{H}}^p$ in $\mathbf{G}$.*

Proof. We have a short exact sequence of algebraic groups over $\mathbb{Q}$:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ is finite and abelian. This gives rise to a long exact sequence in Galois cohomology.

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{F}) \rightarrow 1.$$

The triviality of the final term is a theorem of Kneser (see [12] and also §III.3.1 of [17]).

The group $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{F})$ is finite by local class field theory. We may choose a level $K_{p, \mathbf{G}} \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ small enough so that it is torsion-free. In particular its intersection with $\mathbf{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is

trivial. We let $K_{p,\mathbf{H}}$ be the image of $K_{p,\mathbf{G}}$ in $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. This is a compact open subgroup of $\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and is isomorphic to $K_{p,\mathbf{G}}$. Replacing $K_{p,\mathbf{H}}$ and $K_{p,\mathbf{G}}$ by subgroups if necessary, we may ensure that for every element $h \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the subgroup $hK_{p,\mathbf{H}}h^{-1}$ is the bijective image of a torsion-free subgroup of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. This follows from the finiteness of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{F})$.

Choose a tame level $K_{\mathbf{H}}^p$ in $\mathbf{H}$, and define $K_{\mathbf{G}}^p$ to be its preimage in $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$. We let $K_{f,\mathbf{H}} = K_{p,\mathbf{H}}K_{\mathbf{H}}^p$, and similarly for $\mathbf{G}$.

The arithmetic quotient $Y_{\mathbf{H}}(K_f)$ is in general not connected. Its connected components are indexed by the finite set

$$\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A}) / \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{R})^\circ K_f.$$

More precisely, the double coset containing an element $h \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{A})$ corresponds to a component

$$Y_0(h) = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}(\mathbb{R})^\circ / K_{\infty,\mathbf{H}}^\circ, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma(h^{-1}K_{f,\mathbf{H}}h).$$

Since $h^{-1}K_{p,\mathbf{H}}h$ is torsion-free, Γ must be torsion-free, so $Y(h)$ is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -space. The action of Γ on $\mathcal{C}(K_p)$ is the composition of the usual left-translation, with conjugation by h . This implies:

$$H^\bullet(Y_0(h), \mathcal{C}(K_{p,\mathbf{H}})) = H^\bullet(Y_0(h), \mathcal{C}(hK_{p,\mathbf{H}}h^{-1})),$$

where the action of Γ on $\mathcal{C}(hK_{p,\mathbf{H}}h^{-1})$ is by left translation.

Choose a compact open subgroup $G(h) \subset \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that $hK_{p,\mathbf{H}}h^{-1}$ is the bijective image of $G(h)$. By the strong approximation theorem, Γ is the bijective image of the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_{\mathbf{G}}(K_{\mathbf{G}}^p G(h))$ of $\mathbf{G}$, and there is a natural bijection:

$$Y_0(h) = Y_{\mathbf{G}}(K_{\mathbf{G}}^p G(h)).$$

This implies

$$H^\bullet(Y_0(h), \mathcal{C}(hK_{p,\mathbf{H}}h^{-1})) = H^\bullet(Y_{\mathbf{G}}(K_{\mathbf{G}}^p G(h)), \mathcal{C}(G(h))).$$

The result follows. $\square$

5. VANISHING THEOREMS

We return in this section to the notation of the introduction.

Theorem 15. *Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a reductive group over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that the maximal split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ is trivial. Then $\tilde{\text{cd}}_\partial(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\tilde{\text{cd}}_\partial(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \leq D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.*

Proof. We prove the result in the case of coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The proof for $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the same.

Choose a level $K_f = K_p K^p$, with K_p small enough so that it is torsion-free. We have (as K_p -modules):

$$\tilde{H}_\partial^\bullet(K^p, \mathbb{Z}_p) = H^\bullet(\partial Y(K^f)^{\text{B.S.}}, \mathcal{C}(K_p)).$$

We must prove that $\tilde{H}_\partial^n(K^p, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ for $n > D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. It is clearly sufficient to prove, for each connected component Y_0 of $Y(K^f)$, that $H^n(\partial Y_0^{\text{B.S.}}, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0$ for $n > D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Fix a component Y_0 of $Y(K_f)$. We have, for a congruence subgroup Γ of $\mathbf{G}$,

$$Y_0 = \Gamma \backslash \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})^\circ / K_\infty^\circ.$$

Replacing K_f by a conjugate if necessary, we may assume that $\Gamma = \Gamma(K_f)$. Hence Γ is torsion-free and Y_0 is a $K(\Gamma, 1)$ -space.

We recall the structure of the Borel–Serre boundary of Y_0 . Let $\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_N$ be the Γ -conjugacy classes of proper parabolic $\mathbb{Q}$ -subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$. For each $\mathbf{P}_t$, we have a Levi decomposition:

$$\mathbf{P}_t = \mathbf{L}_t \ltimes \mathbf{N}_t,$$

where $\mathbf{N}_t$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathbf{P}_t$ and $\mathbf{L}_t$ is a Levi component of $\mathbf{P}_t$. We further decompose $\mathbf{L}_t = \mathbf{A}_t \mathbf{M}_t$, where $\mathbf{A}_t$ is the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{L}_t$ and $\mathbf{M}_t$ is the intersection of the kernels of the homomorphisms $\mathbf{L}_t \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1/\mathbb{Q}$. We shall choose $\mathbf{P}_t$ and $\mathbf{L}_t$ in such a way that $K_{\infty, \mathbf{M}_t} = \mathbf{P}_t(\mathbb{R}) \cap K_{\infty}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbf{M}_t(\mathbb{R})$. We define a subgroup $\mathbf{Q}_t = \mathbf{M}_t \ltimes \mathbf{N}_t$. For each parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P}_t$ we have a boundary component:

$$Z_t = (\Gamma \cap \mathbf{Q}_t) \backslash \mathbf{Q}_t(\mathbb{R})^\circ / K_{\infty, \mathbf{M}_t}^\circ.$$

Note that Z_t is a connected component of the arithmetic quotient:

$$Y_{\mathbf{Q}_t}(Q_{t,f}), \quad Q_{t,f} = K_f \cap \mathbf{Q}_t(\mathbb{A}_f).$$

For $n > D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, we know by Theorems 8 and 12 that $\tilde{H}_c^n(Y_{\mathbf{Q}_t}(Q_{t,f}), \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0$. It follows that

$$(5) \quad \tilde{H}_c^n(Z_t, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0 \text{ for } n > D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

As a set, the Borel–Serre boundary of Y_0 is given by:

$$\partial Y_0^{\mathrm{B.S.}} = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_N.$$

Each component Z_t in turn has a Borel–Serre compactification $Z_t^{\mathrm{B.S.}}$, which may be identified with the closure of Z_t in $Y_0^{\mathrm{B.S.}}$. The boundary $\partial Z_t^{\mathrm{B.S.}}$ is the union of those components Z_u for which $\mathbf{P}_u$ is Γ -conjugate to a proper subgroup of $\mathbf{P}_t$. We shall order the subgroups $\mathbf{P}_t$ so that if $\mathbf{P}_t$ is Γ -conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathbf{P}_u$ then $t < u$. With this choice of ordering we have a filtration on $\partial Y_0^{\mathrm{B.S.}}$ by compact subspaces:

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset A_N = \partial Y_0^{\mathrm{B.S.}},$$

$$A_t = \bigcup_{u \leq t} Z_u = \bigcup_{j \leq i} Z_u^{\mathrm{B.S.}}.$$

Let $n > D(\mathbf{G})$. We shall prove by induction on t that $H^n(A_t, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0$.

In the case $t = 1$, we have $A_1 = Z_1$. The subgroup $\mathbf{P}_1$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup. This implies that the rational rank of $\mathbf{M}_1$ is zero. It follows that Z_1 is compact, so by (5) we have:

$$H^n(A_1, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0 \text{ for } n > D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Assume that $H^n(A_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0$ for $n > D(\mathbf{G})$ and consider the exact sequence

$$\rightarrow H^n(A_t, A_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) \rightarrow H^n(A_t, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) \rightarrow H^n(A_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) \rightarrow .$$

By assumption, the last term in the sequence is zero. For the inductive step, we shall show that the first term is zero. By the excision axiom, we have

$$H^n(A_t, A_{t-1}, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = H^n(Z_t^{\mathrm{B.S.}}, \partial Z_t^{\mathrm{B.S.}}, \mathcal{C}(K_p)).$$

This is equal to $H_c^n(Z_t, \mathcal{C}(K_p))$, which by (5) is zero for $n > D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. $\square$

Corollary 6. *Let $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ be reductive and suppose the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ is trivial.*

- (1) The map $\tilde{H}_c^n(K^p, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n(K^p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is an isomorphism for $n > D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p) + 1$ and is surjective for $n = D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Q}_p) + 1$.
- (2) The map $\tilde{H}_c^n(K^p, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^n(K^p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an isomorphism for $n > D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) + 1$ and is surjective for $n = D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) + 1$.

For a linear algebraic group $\mathbf{G}$, we shall write $X_{\mathbf{G}}$ for the symmetric space $\mathbf{G}(\mathbb{R})/K_{\infty, \mathbf{G}}$. Recall the following:

Theorem 16 ([3]). *If $\mathbf{G}$ is reductive and the maximal split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ is trivial, then the virtual cohomological dimension of arithmetic subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$ is $\dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{G}$.*

As a result, we clearly have for such groups $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{G}$. We now prove the same bound for $\tilde{\text{cd}}_c$.

Theorem 17. *Let $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ be reductive and suppose the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ is trivial. Then*

$$\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{G}.$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on the rational rank of $\mathbf{G}$. If $\mathbf{G}$ has rank zero, then the result is trivial. Suppose the result is true for all groups of smaller rank than $\mathbf{G}$. Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{MAN}$ be a proper parabolic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. By the Iwasawa decomposition, we have a parametrization of the symmetric space $X_{\mathbf{G}}$ as follows:

$$X_{\mathbf{G}} = X_{\mathbf{M}} \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{R})^{\circ} \times \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{R}).$$

This implies $\dim X_{\mathbf{G}} = \dim X_{\mathbf{M}} + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{A} + \dim \mathbf{N}$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{M}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim X_{\mathbf{M}} - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{M}.$$

On the other hand $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{G} = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{A} + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{M}$. Combining these facts, we find that

$$\tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{M}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{G} - \dim \mathbf{N}.$$

In particular we have $D(\mathbf{G}) < \dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{G}$. By Corollary 6, we have for $n > \dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{G}$:

$$\tilde{H}_c^n(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \tilde{H}^n(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

However, the right hand side is zero, since n is larger than $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. □

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ be a reductive group, such that the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ has rational rank zero. If the real rank of $\mathbf{G}$ is positive then*

$$\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p), \tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - 1.$$

Proof. If $\mathbf{G}$ has positive rational rank, then this follows from our previous vanishing theorem. We therefore assume that $\mathbf{G}$ has rational rank zero. In this case the arithmetic quotients $Y_{\mathbf{G}}(K_f)$ are compact, so we have $\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, -) = \tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, -)$. Choose a level $K_f = K^p K_p$, where K_p is torsion-free. It is sufficient to prove that

$$H^d(Y(K_f), \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = 0, \quad d = \dim X_{\mathbf{G}}.$$

Choose a component Y_0 of $Y(K_f)$ and let Γ be the fundamental group of Y_0 . We shall write G for the closure of the image of Γ in K_p . We have by Theorem 8:

$$H^d(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(K_p)) = \widehat{\text{ind}}_G^{K_p} H^d(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)).$$

It is therefore sufficient to prove that $H^d(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = 0$. Choose a filtration

$$G = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots,$$

and for each r let Y_r be the corresponding cover of Y_0 . Since the image of Γ is dense in G , it follows that Y_r is connected for every r . We therefore have $H^d(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \mathbb{Z}/p^s$. For $r < t$ the map $H^d(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) \rightarrow H^d(Y_t, \mathbb{Z}/p^s)$ is multiplication by the index $[G : G_r]$. Since G is locally a pro- p group, it follows that

$$\lim_{\rightarrow r} H^d(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = 0.$$

Using Emerton's formula, we have:

$$H^d(Y_0, \mathcal{C}(G)) = \tilde{H}^d(Y_0, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \lim_{\leftarrow s} \lim_{\rightarrow r} H^d(Y_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = 0.$$

□

Recall that the congruence kernel $\text{Cong}(\mathbf{G})$ of $\mathbf{G}$ is defined to be the kernel of the map

$$\lim_{\leftarrow \Gamma \text{ arithmetic}} \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})/\Gamma \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow K_f} \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{Q})/\Gamma(K_f).$$

This measures the difference between the set of arithmetic subgroups of $\mathbf{G}$ and the set of congruence subgroups. If $\mathbf{G}$ is not simply connected, then the congruence kernel is infinite. Serre made the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2 ([16]). *Let $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ be simple and simply connected, and assume that the real rank of $\mathbf{G}$ is positive. Then $\text{Cong}(\mathbf{G})$ is finite if and only if the real rank of $\mathbf{G}$ is at least 2.*

Proposition 2. *Let $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ be a semi-simple group of positive real rank. If the congruence kernel of the universal cover of $\mathbf{G}$ is finite then*

$$\tilde{\text{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p), \tilde{\text{cd}}_c(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - 2.$$

In particular this holds for groups of real rank ≥ 2 satisfying Serre's conjecture.

Proof. By Theorem 14 it is sufficient to prove this for simply connected groups, so we assume that $\mathbf{G}$ is simply connected. It follows that the congruence kernel of $\mathbf{G}$ is finite and $\mathbf{G}$ satisfies strong approximation. Let $d = \dim X_{\mathbf{G}}$. Proposition 1 shows that $\tilde{H}_c^d(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$. To prove the result in the compactly supported case, we must show that $\tilde{H}_c^{d-1}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$. Choose a level $K_f = K^p K_p$ with K_p torsion-free. The arithmetic quotient $Y(K_f)$ is connected with fundamental group $\Gamma = \Gamma(K_f)$. By Poincaré duality, we have

$$H_c^{d-1}(Y(K_f), \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \Gamma^{\text{ab}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

Here we are using the notation $\Gamma^{\text{ab}} = \Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ for the abelianization of Γ . Choose a filtration of open normal subgroups of K_p :

$$K_p = G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \cdots,$$

and let Γ_r be the preimage of G_r in Γ . By strong approximation, the map $\Gamma \rightarrow K_p$ induces isomorphisms on the quotients: $\Gamma_t/\Gamma_r = G_t/G_r$. By Emerton's formula, we have

$$\tilde{H}_c(K^p, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim_s \varinjlim_r H_1(\Gamma_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

Note that we have a central extension of profinite groups:

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Cong}(\mathbf{G}) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{f,r} \rightarrow G_r K^p \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\tilde{K}_{f,r}$ is the profinite completion of Γ_r . As a consequence of this we have

$$\Gamma_r^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^s = \tilde{K}_{f,r}^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^s.$$

Since $\text{Cong}(\mathbf{G})$ is finite, we may choose K_f small enough so that the central extension splits. It follows that

$$H_1(\Gamma_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = (\text{Cong}(\mathbf{G}) \oplus (K^p)^{\text{ab}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^s \oplus (G_r^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

Let Ver_t^r denote the transfer map from $H_1(\Gamma_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s)$ to $H_1(\Gamma_t, \mathbb{Z}/p^s)$. For an element $\sigma \in (\text{Cong}(\mathbf{G}) \oplus (K^p)^{\text{ab}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^s$, we have

$$\text{Ver}_t^r(\sigma) = [G_r : G_t]\sigma.$$

It follows that such classes vanish in the limit over the levels G_r , and we have:

$$\varinjlim_r H_1(\Gamma_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \varinjlim_r (G_r^{\text{ab}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^s).$$

If r is large enough then G_r is a pro- p group, and G_r^{ab} is always finite, so we have:

$$\varinjlim_r H_1(\Gamma_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s) = \varinjlim_r \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{\text{cts}}^1(G_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Recall that by a theorem of Lazard (Cor. 4.8 of Annexe 2.4 of [17]), the groups G_r are *Poincaré duality groups at p* of dimension $n = \dim \mathbf{G}$. In particular, by Prop. 4.3 of Annexe 2.4 of [17], we have:

$$\varinjlim_r \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{\text{cts}}^q(G_r, \mathbb{Z}/p), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{for } q \neq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{G}.$$

In particular, this holds for $q = 1$. By induction on s , we deduce that

$$\varinjlim_r \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{\text{cts}}^1(G_r, \mathbb{Z}/p^s), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

The result follows in the compactly supported case. To prove the result in the case of usual cohomology, we apply Corollary 6. We need only check that $d \geq D(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) + 2$. Suppose $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{MAN}$ is a proper parabolic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. We have from the Iwasawa decomposition:

$$X_{\mathbf{G}} = X_{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{A}(\mathbb{R})^\circ \mathbf{N}(\mathbb{R}).$$

In particular since neither $\mathbf{A}$ nor $\mathbf{N}$ is trivial, we have

$$d \geq \dim(X_{\mathbf{M}}) + 2.$$

We have $\tilde{\mathrm{cd}}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim(X_{\mathbf{M}})$, so the result follows. $\square$

In view of the previous results, the author is lead to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 3. *Let $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ be a reductive group whose centre has rational rank zero. Then*

$$\tilde{\mathrm{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p), \tilde{\mathrm{cd}}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \dim X_{\mathbf{G}} - \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{G}.$$

We have proved the conjecture for groups whose real rank is equal to the rational rank (Theorem 17), and in particular for all split groups. We have also proved the conjecture for groups of real rank ≤ 1 (Proposition 1). We have shown (Proposition 2) that for groups of real rank 2, the conjecture follows from Serre's conjecture on congruence kernels. We have shown (Theorem 14) that to prove the conjecture for a semi-simple group, it is sufficient to prove it for the universal cover. We have also shown (Corollary 5) that for a torus, the conjecture is equivalent to Leopoldt's conjecture. Indeed if Leopoldt's conjecture is correct, then it would be sufficient to prove the conjecture for semi-simple, groups. Finally, we show that the usual and compactly supported cases of the conjecture are equivalent:

Proposition 3. *Let “ $-$ ” denote either $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *for every reductive group $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ such that the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ is trivial, $\tilde{\mathrm{cd}}(\mathbf{G}, -) \leq \dim(X_{\mathbf{G}}) - \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{G})$;*
- (2) *for every reductive group $\mathbf{G}/\mathbb{Q}$ such that the maximal $\mathbb{Q}$ -split torus in the centre of $\mathbf{G}$ is trivial, $\tilde{\mathrm{cd}}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbf{G}, -) \leq \dim(X_{\mathbf{G}}) - \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{G})$.*

Proof. One proves this by the same method as Theorem 17. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [2] A. Borel and L. Ji. *Compactifications of symmetric and locally symmetric spaces*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
- [3] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre. Corners and arithmetic groups. *Commentarii mathematici Helvetici*, 48:436–483, 1973.
- [4] A. Borel and N. R. Wallach. *Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups*, volume 67 of *Mathematical surveys and monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2nd ed edition, 2000.
- [5] G. E. Bredon. *Sheaf Theory, second edition*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 170. Springer-Verlag 1997.
- [6] F. Calegari and M. Emerton. Bounds for multiplicities of unitary representations of cohomological type in spaces of cusp forms. *preprint*, 2007.
- [7] W. Casselman and D. Wigner. Continuous cohomology and a conjecture of serre's. *Invent. math.*, 25:199–211, 1974.
- [8] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner. *Methods of representation theory with applications to finite groups and orders*, volume 1. Wiley, 1981.
- [9] M. Emerton. On the interpolation of systems of eigenvalues attached to automorphic Hecke eigenforms. *Invent. Math.*, 164(1):1–84, 2006.

- [10] M. Emerton. *Locally analytic vectors in representations of locally p -adic analytic groups*. preprint, 2004.
- [11] R. Hill. Construction of eigenvarieties in small cohomological dimensions for semi-simple, simply connected groups. *preprint*, June 2007.
- [12] M. Kneser. Starke Approximation in algebraischen Gruppen. I. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 218:190–203, 1965.
- [13] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, and K. Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, volume 323 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [14] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum. Banach space representations and Iwasawa theory. *Israel J. Math.*, 127:359–380, 2002.
- [15] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum. Duality for admissible locally analytic representations. *Representation Theory*, 9:297–326, April 2005.
- [16] J.-P. Serre. Le problème des groupes de congruence pour SL_2 . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 92:489–527, 1970.
- [17] J.-P. Serre. *Cohomologie galoisienne*, volume 5 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fifth edition, 1994.
- [18] E. H. Spanier. *Algebraic Topology*. Springer-Verlag New York, inc., 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON.
E-mail address: `rih@math.ucl.ac.uk`